Site Prep Treatment Effects on Seral Vegetation and Ungulate Use: 2016-2020

Syringa Ecological Consulting Adam D Gebauer M.S.









## Background

- Industrial forestry practices concerns
  - Herbicide: suppression of native and, forage species
  - Land clearing
- Improve wildlife browse and forage
- Native species regeneration
- Lack of regional study



# Study Objectives

- Primary
  - Forestry best management practices
    - Crop tree survival
  - Native plant regeneration
    - Shrubs & Forbs
  - Cervid browse preference
    - Utilized in different treatments
- Secondary
  - Soil temperature & sub straight



# Study Timeline

- 2016-2017
  - Background research & study design
  - Engage first study site with CDA
  - Locate industry sites & start photo documentation
  - First season of data collection at 1 site
  - Additional soil biota data taken
  - Engaged 4 other sites on CDA, 2 CCT, and Kalispel
- 2018-2020
  - Gathered data at all sites
  - Cameras deployed at all sites and treatments
  - Temperature loggers at treatments at 3 sites



#### Tracking Industrial Practices

- Photo document regional industrial sites
  - Clear cut
  - Aerial herbicide application
    - General vegetation
       composition
- Sites sprayed from 2012-2016
  - Sites monitored from 2016-2020



#### NOTICE

HERBICIDE APPLICATION AREA

NO ENTRY ALLOWED FROM

7/11/16 to 8/31/16 POTENTIAL PRODUCTS TO BE APPLIED: Retury 2 SL or Polaris SP Imarapy 4 SL or Polaris AC Complete Optimization 4 Angue Neat

SFM 75 or Spyder MSM 60Dr Syl-Tat EA or 5 172 Bront Max or Imperial Crosshair or Enhance Pro

CONTACT: Hancock Forest Management 509-685-2548















# Natural Regrowth



# 2015 Burn, 2016 Salvage & Herbicide



# 2015 Fire, Federal and State





# 2015 Herbicide Treatment





# Site Location

- CCT
  - Lynx Creek
  - 6 Mile
- Kalispel
  - Indian Creek
- CDA
  - A567
  - Chet5



# Treatment Site Info

- 5 mixed conifer sites:
  - ranging from 2200-3800ft elevation
- At least 3 treatments per site, including control and herbicide
- Each treatment has "Open" and "Exclusion" to herbivory plots
  - 2, 10x10m plots at each treatment
  - 8ft fence surrounding Exclusion
  - 16 sampling quads (1x1m) per plot
- Game camera facing each open plot





# Soils Temperature and Sub Straight

## Sub Straight Cover

#### Average % Cover of Bare Ground

Average % Cover Litter









Indian Creek
120
100
80
60
60
40
20
0
-20
above burn control herbicide Mechanical ground

#### Average, High and Low Temperature per Treatment 6/19-9/20



# Average Vegetation % Cover



A567 Herbivory Exclusion and Open Plots 2020



Year



Chet 5 Treatments with Herbivory Open and Excluding Herbivory 2020

### Chet5 Vegetation Composition











Fall Herbicide

Control

Spring Herbicide

Lynx Creek Treatments with Open vs Excluding Herbivory 2020

## Lynx Creek Vegetation Composition



Year







Herbicide

Control

Burn

6 Mile Treatments with Open vs Exclusion Herbivore 2020

### 6 Mile Vegetation Composition



Years







Indian Creek Herbivory Open and Excluding Herbivory Treatments 2020



# % cover per meter

Year









# Shrub Height Open vs Exclusion Herbivory



Control Average Shrub Height Height in cm EΧ ΕX ΕX 

#### Mechanical Average Shrub Height



Herbicide Average Shrub Height



# Richness & Diversity



Average Forb Richness per Treatment





#### Forb Richness Introduced vs Native per Treatment

Years

Shannon Diversity Index per Treatment



Years







# Game Camera Data: Ungulate Use per Treatment











# CCT 6mi Burn



### Indian Creek Herbicide



09:16AM

11/16/18

( )



### Indian Creek Herbicide



09:52AM

11/29/18





### Lynx Creek Spring Herbicide

07:44PM 09/10/2020 🕕 0055









CDA A567 Mechanical









<u>.</u>



臣

07:36AM

06/21/19

and the second









Indian Creek Burn

a 187



#### 09:08PM

06/03/19





# Bears

#### Probability of Ungulate Use



# Tree Heights & Survival

Average Tree Height in cm



Years

% Tree Survival per Treatment



% Tree Survival per Herbivory Plots



# Research

- Highest digestible energy in forbs and deciduous shrubs
  - Cook et al. 2016
- Ungulate rely on disturbance and early seral shrubs and herbaceous
  - Hobbs 1996, Augustine & McNaughton 1998, Ross et al. 2006, Coot et al. 2013
- Early seral communities have highest diversity
  - Halpern & Spies 1995
- Decline in ungulate forage due to fire suppression, decline of federal harvest and intensive management
  - Spies et al. 2017, Swanson et al. 2011, Cook et al. 2016
- 90% of Mule Deer and White Tail Deer diet consist of deciduous shrubs and forbs in Colville NF
  - Berry et al. 2016
- Coastal Range, diverse and abundant forage reduce pressure on any one species including crop trees
  - Stokely et al. 2018
- With out herbicide treatment serial stages can last decades
  - Halpern & Franklin 1990

### Study Summary Points

- Industrial sites: after 7 yrs shrub suppression and prevalence of invasive forbs
- Diversity highest in Control treatment
- Native forbs cover generally high across treatments
- Shrub cover increasing at Burn and Mechanical, decreasing at Herbicide
- Shrub height greatest in Mechanical and Burn
- Ungulate use highest at non Herbicide treatments
- Tree survival and height highest in Burn treatment

#### Thank You

<u>Fencing Building:</u> Marc Gauthier Devin Sontag Isaac Harmson

Site Access: Norris Booth Tim Larkoski Matt Berger Barry Coles Rich Whitney Corey Peone

<u>General:</u> Bob Gilrein Sam Rushing Ray Entz Lori Rothrock DR Michel

# Questions





